

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/520,709	HAGA, JOHAN
	Examiner Ryan P. Gillan	Art Unit 3746

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ryan P. Gillan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christian Abel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2006

**Time:** 9:00am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### **Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Improper multiple dependant claims.*

Claims discussed:

4-10

Prior art documents discussed:

#### **Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

#### **Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed, with applicant, amendments of claims 4-10 in order to comply with proper dependency. Agreed upon amendments as follows: claim 4 will depend upon claim 3, claim 5 will depend upon claim 4, claim 6 will depend upon claim 5, claim 7 will depend upon claim 6, claim 8 will depend upon claim 6 and claim 9 will depend upon claim 4.